

Notice of Allowability	Application No. 10/821,325 Examiner ADAM DUDA	Applicant(s) AGRAWAL ET AL. Art Unit 2416
-------------------------------	--	--

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to REMARKS filed 7/15/2009.
 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-33 and 35.
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some* c) None of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.
- Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date 9/25/09
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other See Continuation Sheet.

/ADAM DUDA/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416

Continuation of Attachment(s) 9. Other: see attached "Notice of Allowance" and attached "Interference Search" and attached "Examiner's Amendments" and attached "Interview Notes".

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

attached “**Response to Arguments**”

1. Applicant's arguments, see REMARKS filed **7/15/2009**, with respect to claims **1-33 and 35** have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims **1-33 and 35** has been withdrawn.
2. Claims **1-33 and 35** are allowable. Claims **34, 36-41** are canceled. Claims **8-10 and 34** are amended by examiner's amendment (*see following pages*). Paragraph **0030** of the instant application is amended by examiner's amendment (*see following pages*).

attached “Allowable Subject Matter/Reasons for Allowance”

1. Claims **1-33 and 35** are allowable.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
 - a. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of **WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99)** discloses a method and a system for "**providing multimedia messages to incompatible terminals**" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities). Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of **WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99)** fails to teach, with respect to claim 1, "**based on the recipient identification information and the indication of content in the received request message, determining that the recipient's mobile device subscribers to a service associated with the content sharing system; responsive to determining that the recipient's mobile device subscribes to the service associated with the content sharing system, determining whether the recipient's mobile device and the user's mobile device have compatible capabilities**".
 - b. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of **WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99)** discloses a method and a system for "**providing multimedia messages to incompatible**

terminals" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities). Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 8, "wherein the specific resource locator parameter identifies a device-dependent portion of the content, and wherein the generic resource locator parameter identifies a non-device-dependent portion of the content; means for basing the user-selectable share content link on an application program interface provided in association with a content sharing application of the mobile service provider; means for determining, based on information in a share content request received from a user's mobile device, that the recipient's mobile device is associated with a user that subscribes to the mobile service provided by the mobile service provider; and means for determining, responsive to determining that the recipient's mobile device is associated with the user that subscribes to the mobile service provided by the mobile service provider, determining whether the recipient's mobile device and the user's mobile device have compatible capabilities.".

- c. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) discloses a method and a system for "providing multimedia messages to incompatible

terminals” by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities).

Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient’s device are compatible with the capabilities of a user’s device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 14, “determining that the second user device subscribes to a service associated with the content sharing system; responsive to determining that the second user device subscribes to the service associated with the content sharing system, determining whether the first user device and the second user device have compatible capabilities”.

- d. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of **WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99)** discloses a method and a system for “**providing multimedia messages to incompatible terminals” by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities).**
- Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient’s device are compatible with the capabilities of a user’s device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 21, “wherein the content sharing application determines that the second wireless device subscribes to a service associated with the wireless telecommunications service provider system; and wherein the content sharing application**

determines, responsive to determining that the second wireless device subscribers to the service associated with the wireless telecommunications service provider system, whether the first wireless device has capabilities compatible with the second wireless device”.

- e. The prior art made of record, **Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99)** discloses a method and a system for “**providing multimedia messages to incompatible terminals**” by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities). Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. **Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99)** fails to teach, with respect to claim 24, “**determining that the second mobile device subscribes to a service associated with a content sharing system; and responsive to determining that the second mobile device subscribes to the service associated with the content sharing system, determining whether the first mobile device and the second mobile device have compatible capabilities;**”.

attached "**Examiner's Amendments**"

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interviews with **Aaron Bourgeois (Reg. No. 57,936)** on 9/25/2009.

attached "Amendments to Claims"

Please make the following amendments to the claims:

8. (Currently amended) A content provider system configured for facilitating the sharing of content among users of mobile devices interconnected within one or more mobile telecommunication networks, wherein at least some of the users subscribe to a mobile service provided by a mobile service provider, the system comprising:

a processor; and

a memory coupled to the processor, the memory including a set of instructions stored therein and executable by the processor for:

means for generating a user-selectable share content link as part of content available for access by users of mobile devices, wherein the user-selectable share content link comprises a specific resource locator parameter and a generic resource locator parameter, wherein the specific resource locator parameter identifies a device-dependent portion of the content, and wherein the generic resource locator parameter identifies a non-device-dependent portion of the content; and

means for basing the user-selectable share content link on an application program interface provided in association with a content sharing application of the mobile service provider.

9. (Currently amended) The system of claim 8 further comprising ~~means for~~ providing the content, including the user-selectable share content link, to a device of a user, wherein the content can then be shared with a recipient device via the content sharing application of the mobile service provider.

10. (Currently amended) The system of claim 8 further comprising

~~means for~~ providing the content, including the user-selectable share content link, to a device of a user, wherein the content can then be shared with a recipient device via the content sharing application of the mobile service provider, and wherein selecting the user-selectable share content link results in a request message being sent to the content sharing application of the mobile service provider.

34. (Canceled)

attached "**Amendments to Specification**"

Please substitute paragraph 0030 of the specification with the following paragraph:

Aspects of the invention may be stored or distributed on computer-readable media, including magnetically or optically readable computer disks, such as a microcode on semiconductor memory, nanotechnology memory, organic or optical memory, or other portable data storage media. Those skilled in the relevant art will recognize that portions of the invention reside on a server computer, while corresponding portions reside on a client computer, such as a mobile device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADAM DUDA whose telephone number is (571)270-5136. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 9:30 a.m. - 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang B. Yao can be reached on (571) 272 - 3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ADAM DUDA/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Steven HD Nguyen/

